

The State of Wisconsin

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

201 North, State Capitol
Madison, Wisconsin 53702

Telephone Area Code 608
Reference: 266-0341
Bill Drafting: 266-3561

Dr. H. Rupert Theobald, Chief


1975 Legislative Session

FISCAL NOTE MANUAL

Informational Bulletin 74-13
December 1974

Contents

Highlights	Inside front cover
General background	
Why fiscal notes?	1
History of fiscal notes.....	2
Content of fiscal notes.....	2
Other legislative information	2
Fiscal note procedure.....	3
Limited fiscal impact.....	3
Position control.....	3
Preparing a fiscal note	
Important "Don'ts"	4
Effect on agency budget.....	5
Appropriation blanks.....	6
Lack of spending authority	6
Explain estimating methods	7
Indicate source of funds	8
Local fiscal effect	8
Fiscal note form discussed.....	8
Technical memos	
Fiscal note not required.....	10
Deficiencies in bill draft.....	11
Fiscal note law	
Section 13.10 (2)	12
Joint Rule 24	12
Proposed revision of Joint Rule 24	14
Appendix	
Sample fiscal notes	16
Fiscal note worksheet	Inside back cover
Fiscal note text form	Outside back cover



Questions and forms. If — after careful study of this manual — you still have questions concerning fiscal note procedure, or if you require additional fiscal note forms, please contact **Marietta Moen** at extension **266-1038**. Her desk is located in Room B-114, Wilson Street State Office Building.

For questions concerning the preparation or content of a specific fiscal note, contact the budget analyst assigned to your agency.

Local fiscal impact. Resulting from the enactment of Chapter 17, Laws of 1971, fiscal notes are to cover not only a proposal's effect on state government appropriations and revenues or fiscal liabilities, but must cover also the **effect on local government fiscal liabilities or revenues**. Some proposals submitted to you for review will have both state and local fiscal impact, and some proposals submitted to you may have no state fiscal impact at all but significant local fiscal impact.

Five working days to prepare fiscal notes. For any legislative proposal assumed to require a fiscal note, the statute — s. 13.10 (2) — prohibits legislative action until the fiscal note is received. This makes it imperative to prepare fiscal notes as speedily as possible, so as not to delay legislative consideration of the affected proposal.

Legislative Joint Rule 24 requires fiscal notes to be **delivered within 5 working days**. In unusual circumstances — “if the proposal necessitates extended research” — the Department of Administration may grant an extension of 10 additional working days.

If you feel that the preparation of a specific fiscal note requires research beyond the standard period of 5 working days, request an extension by calling Marietta Moen at 266-1038. But: be sure your reasons for the requested extension outweigh the delay which you might be causing in the proposal's legislative consideration.

During the 1973-74 session biennium, 1,420 legislative proposals (bills, joint resolutions, simple resolutions) were sent out for fiscal notes. The average **return time left a lot to be desired**. Only 122 proposals (8.6%) were returned within the regulation period of 5 working days. For 955 proposals (67.3%) the claim was made that compiling the fiscal note required an extension. Of the remaining 343 (24.2%), 113 were returned by the 20th working day, another 113 by the 30th working day, 18 by the 60th working day, 22 took even longer (including one returned after 131 days) and 56 were never returned. The 56 failures to return fiscal notes are particularly bothersome — even if a note might have been of no use to the 1973 Legislature because the proposal died with the October 26, 1973, recess of floorperiod III or the March 29, 1974, recess of floorperiod IV, it is likely that it would be of great use to the agency when a similar proposal is made in the 1975 Session. Thus, because somebody did not complete a fiscal note in the 1973 Session, completing the fiscal note assignments in 1975 within the 5-day regulation period will be that much more difficult.

Responsibility for fiscal note preparation. In past sessions, the single most important reason for fiscal note delay has been agency failure to pinpoint responsibility for fiscal note preparation. It is suggested that each agency head delegate the responsibility for fiscal note preparation to a member of his staff. When the fiscal note form has been completely filled out, it should be returned to the agency head for his review and approval. Every effort should be made to return the note to the Legislature within the 5-work-days period.

Fiscal note responsibility. Please inform both the **BUREAU OF BUDGET AND PLANNING (266-1038)** and the **LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU (266-0128)** who will be responsible for fiscal note preparation in your agency or division. **Keep this information up-to-date** throughout the legislative session.

1975 FISCAL NOTE MANUAL

Introduction. This is a manual for the preparation of fiscal notes to legislative proposals drafted for the 1975 Session of the Wisconsin Legislature. It explains the "why" of fiscal notes and presents some general background information on fiscal note procedure; it outlines the detail of how to prepare a fiscal note and tries to highlight some of the pitfalls; it addresses itself to the question of "technical memos" by which the fiscal expert can help the legislative attorney draft a better proposal; it presents the text of the statute and joint rule provisions governing fiscal note procedure in Wisconsin and, last but not least, it contains some sample fiscal notes from prior sessions.

Each agency should DESIGNATE THE PERSON or persons in the respective constitutional office, department or independent agency who will be RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PREPARATION OF FISCAL NOTES for legislative proposals to be submitted to the 1975 Legislature. These names should be transmitted to Marietta Moen, Bureau of Planning and Budget, Room B-114, Wilson Street State Office Building (266-1038) and to the Legislative Reference Bureau (266-0128). Past experience indicates that it is better to DELEGATE THE TASK TO A SPECIFIC PERSON OR UNIT WITHIN THE AGENCY OR DIVISION (rather than listing the name of the secretary or administrator). In some instances, fiscal notes have been delayed because they were directed to the agency head who had not authorized anyone to act in his absence. The result was that no action took place until the agency head returned, and by then the fiscal note request was buried deep in the pile of things to be done "someday".

Under Joint Rule 24 of the Wisconsin Legislature, FISCAL NOTES MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN 5 WORKING DAYS. If this deadline cannot be met, call Mrs. Marietta Moen at 266-1038 in the Bureau of Planning and Budget, explain why the deadline cannot be met, and request an extension. The extension CANNOT EXCEED an additional 10 WORKING DAYS.

Many agencies are housed in Madison but outside the Wilson Street State Office Building. If such agencies have messenger service to the Wilson Street State Office Building, they are urged to have their messengers check daily during the legislative session with the Bureau of Planning and Budget (Room B-114) to pick up fiscal note requests directed to their agencies, and to return completed fiscal notes to the same address.

Please note that the text of legislative Joint Rule 24 is set forth both in its existing text (plain) and including the amendments which had been proposed for adoption by 1973 Assembly Joint Resolution 2. The proposed amendments to Joint Rule 24 generally did not establish a new procedure; instead, they were designed merely to state the procedure which was developed through the 1965 to 1973 Sessions.

I. General Background

Why fiscal notes? Each biennial session of the Wisconsin Legislature marks the introduction of about 2,500 bills and about 300 joint resolutions, dealing with just about every subject imaginable. Many of these proposals, if enacted into law, will affect the finances of Wisconsin state or local government. They might increase or reduce expenditures; they might increase the yield of an existing tax or impose a tax on a new base.

In many instances, the fiscal implications of a proposal will not be readily apparent, but a modern state legislature needs precise supporting information on which to base its decision-making process. Even the specialist in the government agency which might ultimately be called upon to administer a new program will on occasion find it difficult to ascertain a single proposal's fiscal implication. This difficulty, however, is minimal compared to the difficulties experienced by the generalist legislator whose job it is to make an informed decision on every proposal introduced.

One of the most helpful devices to assist the legislator in this task — it was originally developed in Wisconsin in 1957 — is the "fiscal note". This is not to say that fiscal information, compiled by experts, had not been available to state legislatures before that date. But, prior to 1957, such fiscal information existed generally only in a very few copies available to the committee chairman considering a specific proposal, or available to the individual legislator who requested the information.

The fiscal note procedure made it possible to assure that every proposal introduced in the Legislature would be examined for its fiscal implications and, further, that the fiscal information once compiled would then be distributed just as broadly as were the copies of the legislative proposal itself.

History of fiscal note procedure. Wisconsin was the first state to provide fiscal notes. Today, the practice is followed in a majority of the states.

The 1955 Wisconsin Legislature developed a procedure requiring all proposals increasing or decreasing expenditures or revenues of the state to carry a fiscal note, to be printed at the end of the proposal if the fiscal note is available at the time of the proposal's introduction, or to be printed separately and filed with the proposal as are amendments if the fiscal note is obtained following the proposal's introduction. The procedural details were incorporated into a joint rule (Joint Rule 24) which was enacted at the beginning of the 1957 Session when the process was put into effect.

Briefly, the fiscal note procedure provides that when a bill, joint resolution or resolution affects the finances of Wisconsin state or local government, there must be an objective analysis of the fiscal effect. The initial determination that a proposal requires a fiscal note is made by the legislative attorney drafting the proposal. Once the proposal is drafted a copy is sent, upon request of its author prior to introduction or, routinely, upon introduction in the Legislature, to the Department of Administration for assignment to the agency collecting the money or receiving the appropriation, and that agency is asked to determine what the revenues or costs will be. The agency's fiscal analysis is returned to the Department of Administration for review and then forwarded to the Legislative Reference Bureau to be printed either at the end of the bill or resolution, or separately.

In the 1961 Session, all proposals requiring a fiscal note had to have a fiscal note attached when they were introduced in the Legislature. However, obtaining the fiscal note prior to introduction led to difficulties with premature disclosure of the proposal's contents, and for this reason it has since 1963 been the choice of each proposal's author whether to obtain the fiscal note prior to introduction (often the information in a fiscal note can help the author adjust the proposal so that it will have the precise effect he intends) or to introduce his proposal without a fiscal note. In the latter case, the proposal is automatically sent out for a fiscal note when it is introduced.

The 1971 Legislature broadened the fiscal note requirement so that it includes not only a proposal's effect on state finances, but also the effect on local government finances. For some proposals, this means that a fiscal note will have to be prepared, even though they do not affect state finances, because they may have significant impact on the fiscal liabilities or revenues of local government. For other proposals, the fiscal note might point out that the proposal affects the finances of both state and local government.

Content of fiscal notes. THE FISCAL NOTE SHOULD SHOW THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL IN DOLLAR TERMS. How much will it cost to operate the program or to make the procedural change proposed by the bill? How much revenue would the proposed new tax yield? Obviously, the fiscal note should set forth the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues during the forthcoming biennium; but, if there are indirect costs or benefits, or if the forthcoming biennium is for some reason unrepresentative of the proposal's fiscal implications, this should also be brought out in the fiscal note.

Some thought should be given to the detailed ramifications of the proposal. In the past, proposals have occasionally been returned with statements that they had no fiscal effect when the net effect of substituting one form of revenue for another was zero, or when the agency felt that administrative costs could be absorbed within existing appropriations, or when the proposal applied to state government only incidentally together with all other employers in the state. However, all these are examples of a fiscal effect which should be specifically stated.

Last but not least, the fiscal note law requires that each fiscal note address itself to the proposal's long-range consequences. Will the 1977-79, or even 1979-81, costs be about the same as those anticipated for 1975-77? Or, are there other factors, already apparent, which in a few years will lead to significant and predictable additional expenditures if the proposal is enacted into law? In looking for long-range implications, don't bother with incidental workload increases or try to outguess the inflationary trends of the economy. On the other hand, it might be well to comment on predictable enrollment changes as a result of fluctuating birth rates, or future operating costs as a result of the acquisition of a new state institution.

Other sources of legislative information. In compiling the fiscal note it should be remembered that the Wisconsin Legislature has regular procedures to compile a variety of information, and that THE INFORMATION PROVIDED FROM OTHER SOURCES NEED NOT BE COVERED BY THE FISCAL NOTE. The fiscal note should put a "price tag" on legislation; it need not explain what the law is now or what it will be if the proposal is adopted. That kind of information is contained in the "analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau", which is printed on every bill (and on all other substantive measures) immediately following the proposal's title.

In the fiscal note, do not concern yourself with policy alternatives but set forth the fiscal implications of the proposal as it is presented to you. In the Senate and Assembly, each party caucus has a staff whose specific duty it is to advise the members of that caucus on the policy implications of each bill, and what alternatives might be available.

Finally, nearly every proposal introduced in the Wisconsin Legislature receives a public hearing before a standing committee. At that time interested citizens can take a stand for or against the proposal. Representatives of agencies of state government frequently appear at such public hearings to offer suggestions as to how the proposal could be improved for more efficient administration, or to present information showing how the proposal conflicts with policies or programs previously established by Wisconsin state law.

Fiscal note procedure. The initial determination that a proposal needs a fiscal note is made by a legislative attorney in the Legislative Reference Bureau when the proposal is drafted for introduction. The attorney's decision can be challenged to the Chief of the Legislative Reference Bureau, but if the Chief also believes that the proposal needs a fiscal note, the decision is at this stage final and the proposal needs a fiscal note. In addition, should the Legislative Reference Bureau fail to detect a proposal's possible fiscal implications, any member of the Legislature can raise the point of order that a fiscal note is required when the proposal is before his house. In that case, the presiding officer's decision that the proposal needs a fiscal note is again final.

It is, of course, possible that mistakes will be made and proposals are marked "fiscal note required" when, in fact, they do not have a fiscal effect. However, it is better in the long run that a few proposals should come back from the experts in the operating departments with a fiscal note reading: *This bill has no fiscal effect because it neither increases nor decreases any state or local expenditure or revenue*, than to fail to send out for a fiscal note a proposal which may have a significant hidden fiscal effect.

The decision that a proposal needs a fiscal note has a serious consequence: under Section 13.10 (2) of the statutes all legislative action (other than referral to a standing committee) stops for a "fiscal note required" proposal until the fiscal note has been made available to the Legislature. If the measure is before the house, the house cannot act on it. If the proposal is before a standing committee and slated for a public hearing, the public hearing cannot be held; if it is merely slated for executive action, the executive action must be postponed until the fiscal note is obtained.

This makes it imperative that fiscal notes be obtained as speedily as practicable. Joint Rule 24 of the Wisconsin Legislature provides that **fiscal notes are to be produced within 5 working days** unless the Department of Administration grants an extension when the compilation of a note requires unusually extensive research. But, in no case may the total time allotted exceed 15 working days. If you need an extension, contact Mrs. Marietta Moen in the Bureau of Planning and Budget (phone 266-1038), explain what the problems are, and indicate the date on which the note will be completed. Then, if you are granted an extension, please remind Mrs. Moen to notify the Legislative Reference Bureau that an extension has been granted. This will eliminate a lot of unnecessary inquiries.

Limited fiscal impact. In 1971 the Legislature changed the law (section 16.47 (2) of the statutes) to relax the stringent procedures applying to the passage of fiscal impact bills prior to the passage of the general executive budget bill. The revised law makes it easier to achieve passage of two classes of fiscal impact bills: 1) those with an ANNUAL impact on state finances of less than \$10,000, and 2) those with a BIENNIAL impact on state finances of less than \$100,000. This change further increases the requirement for factual accuracy in fiscal note preparation. If the fiscal note indicates that a proposal's annual state fiscal impact is less than \$10,000, then that proposal can be passed by the legislature without any special authorization. If the biennial state fiscal impact is less than \$100,000, then the Committee on Organization in each house may recommend the proposal for enactment prior to passage of the general executive budget bill. This authorization is in addition to the emergency clause procedure whereby either the Governor or the Joint Committee on Finance can recommend any fiscal impact bill — regardless of the amount of the fiscal impact — for passage prior to the passage of the budget bill.

Position control. Based on the change in section 16.50 (3) of the statutes enacted in the 1974 budget review bill, an appropriation increase no longer serves to automatically increase the number of positions available for administration of a program. Unless positions are to be funded from federal monies or from program revenues, position control is a function assigned to the Board on Government Operations (BOGO):

.... No additional positions, above the number authorized through the biennial budget or budget review process may be granted without the approval of the board on government

operations, except for positions created from funds received under s. 16.54 [federal monies] or 20.001 (2) (b) [program revenue]

Thus, if it is the intent of a legislative proposal outside the budget or budget review process to grant additional positions for the administration of a particular program, that intent should be spelled-out in detail in the proposal in the form of a session law section near the end of the proposal. If this is not done the agency receiving the appropriation, after the proposal granting the money has been enacted into law, will have to make a separate request before BOGO to increase its staff by the number of positions required, to be paid for from the monies already appropriated.

II. Preparing a Fiscal Note

Some very important "don'ts". There are a number of things that should not be done when a fiscal note is prepared, and perhaps it is best to discuss these right from the start.

First and foremost, do not, under any circumstances, broadcast the content of a draft proposal. A draft proposal — rather than a document that has already been introduced in the Legislature — is a **confidential** document. You can tell a draft proposal from one that has already been introduced because the draft proposal is not identified with a bill or resolution number to be "1975 Assembly Bill 44" or "1975 Senate Bill 321", "1975 Senate Joint Resolution 24" or "1975 Assembly Joint Resolution 96", "1975 Senate Resolution 15" or "1975 Assembly Resolution 38". In the case of draft bills, the whole field preceding the title (which begins with the words "AN ACT to ...") will be blank; in the case of draft resolutions the words "senate" or "assembly" "joint resolution" or "resolution" will already be shown but they will not be followed by a number.

Draft proposals are, thus, numerically identifiable solely by their "LRB" number which you find in the upper right-hand corner on every page of the proposal. It looks like this:

LRB-2836/2
HRT:pp

In the example shown, "2836" is the "LRB" number. The number "2" following the "slash" indicates that this document is the 2nd draft of LRB-2836.

When you are dealing with a draft proposal, do not call the Legislative Reference Bureau to find out the name of the draft's requester. The bureau is not permitted to tell you that name (unless instructed to do so by the requester, and in that case the bureau would have contacted you already). A draft is a preliminary working document developed by the legislative attorney to express in writing the ideas brought to him by the requester. Once the proposal has been written down in precise terms, the requester may change his mind, or may find that the legislative attorney did not really capture the meaning of his proposal. Depending on what additional information becomes available to the requester — and accurate fiscal information is of great assistance in this process — the draft bill may be extensively changed before it is introduced, or it may be shelved altogether. For a legislator, his political future is "on the line" every time he publicly endorses a proposal. He should not be saddled with the additional burden of being held accountable for the contents of preliminary drafts which do not express his final decision.

Thus, never under any circumstances should you make additional copies of a draft proposal to circulate throughout your staff. Do not discuss the draft proposal's content with any person other than those who have to help you compile the fiscal information. The Legislative Reference Bureau, as the bill drafting agency, is not permitted to publicly discuss any feature of a bill draft — or, even, its very existence — until the draft has been officially introduced in the Legislature. As the agency assigned the task of preparing the fiscal information, you should observe the same confidentiality.

When you have completed your work on the fiscal note for a draft proposal, RETURN THE COPY OF THE DRAFT to the Bureau of Planning and Budget together with the 4 copies of the "fiscal note" form (the forms will be furnished to you by the bureau at the time they send you the request). HOWEVER: be sure to retain the notes which you compiled in preparing the fiscal note as other, similar, proposals may come to you during the session and your old notes may facilitate the preparation of the new fiscal note. This is particularly true in the case of draft proposals (although it also applies to proposals already introduced) because, as was pointed out above, the content of the fiscal note frequently convinces the requester to make changes in the proposed formula and to ask for new fiscal notes, until his proposal achieves the effect he wants at the approximate cost he had in mind.

Next to confidentiality, **objectivity** is the second most important aspect of preparing a fiscal note. Be as objectively factual as is possible. As a citizen, you may be very much in favor of a proposal's contents, or you may be violently opposed to the proposal. As an administrator, you may feel that the proposal in its present form is hard to administer or that it adds yet another inch to the already existing miles of red tape, or you may feel that given a choice you would try to accomplish the same end by different means. But, none of this should have any influence on the objectivity of the fiscal information you are requested to provide.

A fiscal note should accurately, factually, dispassionately and objectively set forth all the fiscal information relevant to the proposal. It should neither endorse nor oppose the proposal, nor concern itself with the proposal's merits as a matter of public policy — in fact, it is imperative that the fiscal note give no hint as to the attitude which you or your agency may have on the substance of the proposal. If the proposal has technical defects, provide a "technical memo" SEPARATE from the fiscal note (see Chapter III, below) or have your agency representative explain the technical defects or your suggested improvements at the public hearing. Don't try to use the fiscal note as an instrument to influence policy-making. Policy decisions should be made in the Legislature; by the duly elected representatives of the people.

Effect on agency budget. Make an independent evaluation of the proposal's possible effect on your agency's budget. Through the fiscal note procedure, you are given an opportunity to place before the Legislature your agency's estimate of the fiscal impact of each proposal. This places a grave responsibility upon you to exercise a high degree of objectivity in the name of your agency; at the same time, it also opens to your agency (which might ultimately be called on to administer the law resulting from the proposal) a clear-cut channel to inform the Legislature of the agency's fiscal needs with regard to the proposal.

If the proposal entails additional costs, the fiscal note should contain the best dollar estimate of the costs involved — regardless of where the money comes from. Don't be misled into thinking that the monies from a fee, earmarked to administer the program, are outside the state's fiscal concern (they are state monies and their collection, as well as their expenditure, has a fiscal effect). Always indicate the source of the funds to be used for the project (GPR, program revenue, federal monies, etc.) in the body of the fiscal note.

Make your own evaluation of the size of the task encompassed by the proposal, and do not blindly follow the amount of an appropriation. If you disagree with the amount of an appropriation set forth in a bill, show both the bill's amount and your own best estimate in the fiscal note narrative. Explain how you arrived at your estimate.

For instance, 1967 Senate Bill 203 proposed state aids, limited to \$5,000 per child, for severely brain injured or emotionally disturbed children and proposed an appropriation for this purpose of \$250,000 per year. This fiscal note should have given an indication of the size of the possible clientele for this new state aid or, if that information was not available, should have stated that the size of the clientele was unknown but presumed to be no more than 50 children. Instead, it read:

1967 SB 203: "This proposal would provide state aids for school expenses for severely brain-injured and emotionally disturbed children. It would limit the amount per student to \$5,000 per year. This would take care of 50 children at \$5,000 per year for a total annual cost of \$250,000.

This proposal would provide \$250,000 for 1967-68 and \$250,000 for 1968-69 for a total of \$500,000 for 1967-69."

Similarly, don't be misled by the size of an appropriation for administrative purposes which is shown in the proposal. Perhaps that amount was based on the fiscal note to a proposal considered several sessions ago and bears no relation to current salary ranges; perhaps it was just a guess on the part of the requester. Thus, outline what your assumptions are and, based on these assumptions, what you expect the costs to be (or the anticipated savings, or revenues, or whatever). For instance, in 1963 Assembly Bill 489 proposed to create a "state advisory board of education" with an executive director "and such additional staff as is required". The bill as introduced contained an appropriation of \$15,000 per year for all expenditures of the advisory board including staff. The fiscal note read:

1963 AB 489: "This bill would create a state advisory board of education. The cost to the executive budget would be \$30,000 for the biennium."

That fiscal note was probably misleading. True, the appropriation was limited to \$30,000 for the biennium, but it is doubtful that this amount could have actually paid for 24 months of operation including salaries of the executive director and staff, supplies, possible space rental costs,

reimbursement of members for actual and necessary expenses, telephone, postage, printing, etc. Chances are, had the agency been created, it would have shortly appeared before the Board on Government Operations to seek a supplementary release of funds.

A much better approach is shown in the following fiscal note from the 1967 Session:

1967 SB 316: "The bill carries an appropriation to the Department of Resource Development from general purpose revenues of \$10,000 annually for 1967-68 and 1968-69. The appropriation would permit the employment of one person to serve as the focal point in the department for this new activity. The sum suggested would not cover all related costs but the department already has a concern with pesticide pollution and can be expected to meet some of the costs from funds presently budgeted."

Theoretically at least, any change in the procedures of state government has a fiscal effect. As a practical matter, of course, the fiscal effect will in many cases be so insignificant as to be incapable of measurement, or the department will have to absorb the added workload within its existing appropriation and staffing pattern. Try to stay away from the following fiscal note:

1965 AB 536: "This proposal would have little fiscal effect. Any costs involved in the administration of the bill would be absorbed in existing appropriations of the department."

Remember that there might be some other bills in the same session for which your department will have to absorb the cost. While this may be possible for each individual bill, the cumulative effect may add up to more than your agency can afford. Thus, it is always a good idea to try to identify the actual costs of the proposal, even if you feel that the present proposal could be absorbed:

1967 SJR 46: "Up to a certain point studies assigned to the legislative council can be absorbed in the council appropriation as contained in the budget bill. An interim study of juvenile traffic offenses would involve a substantial part of the time of a competent research attorney, together with supporting secretarial services. In addition, there would be travel expenses, office supplies, postage and telephone. The cost of these expenditures would probably be approximately \$20,000."

In any case, what your agency can or cannot absorb will depend very much on the overall size of your agency's budget. A task requiring the additional services of 2 full-time clerk-typists might possibly be absorbed by a large department, while absorbing that task could place an impossible strain on the budget of a small agency.

If your agency needs additional people to carry out a proposal, be sure to include in the narrative part of your fiscal note a statement concerning the proposal's impact on the agency's staffing pattern. Position control is a major facet of the budget review process, and this information should be readily available to the legislature when it debates the merits of a proposal.

Appropriation blanks. If the proposal submitted to you contains a blank appropriation ("There is appropriated \$ _____ for ...", or the 20.005 (2) schedule segment shown in the bill is annotated "BLANKS"), you should make every effort to provide a figure which may be inserted in the blank and you should MENTION IN THE FISCAL NOTE THAT THE BLANK NEEDS TO BE FILLED ("These amounts should be inserted into the appropriation blanks on page 2, lines 2 and 3."). This approach might save you some difficulties later.

For instance, 1967 Assembly Bill 783 passed both houses, was enrolled, and was ready for presentation to the Governor when it was discovered that, although it contained a very detailed fiscal note, the required amount of \$47,960 to cover administrative costs in conjunction with a student loans program had never been inserted into the blanks left in that proposal. A joint resolution (1967 SJR 87) had to be approved by both houses to recall the bill from the Assembly for further action by the Senate; the Senate then reconsidered its previous votes to get the proposal back to its amendatory stage, and adopted Senate Amendment 1 to insert the appropriation amounts into the blanks; the bill was returned to the Assembly and the Assembly concurred in the amendment; and the bill, as amended, was reenrolled for presentation to the Governor. Without the adoption of the amendment, no money would have been appropriated to cover administrative costs, and the entire program would have been in jeopardy.

Lack of spending authority. The example just cited simply failed to appropriate the money. Other difficulties have arisen in the past from supplementary appropriations designated to the wrong appropriations paragraph in Chapter 20 of the statutes, even though the bill made an appropriation to the right agency. Therefore, be sure that the bill as drafted provides you with the proper spending authority to accomplish the program if the proposal is enacted into law. If you detect an error,

attach a "technical memo" (see Chapter III, below) to the fiscal note so that the information can come to the Legislative Reference Bureau and, through it, to the requester.

On the other hand, in a number of instances a proposal has been introduced and marked "fiscal note required" which did not contain appropriation language, or provide for supplementation of an existing appropriation, even though the proposal involved significant costs. In many instances, this was due to the requester's insistence that the agency to which the program would be assigned should absorb the costs out of its existing appropriations. This may, or may not, be a realistic assumption — but even if it is unrealistic it is nevertheless a policy decision to be made by the Legislature. In such instances, it might be proper to end the fiscal note with a sentence somewhat like this: "The proposal makes no provision for the funding of the costs involved in ..." Again, you can through a technical memo call the "defect" to the attention of the Legislative Reference Bureau and through it to the requester, but keep in mind that what you consider a defect may well be the intent of the Legislature. When such memos are received, the Legislative Reference Bureau forwards a copy to the requester together with the fiscal note and the following form letter:

When we received the fiscal note, we also were given the attached technical memorandum. Please let us know whether you want us to incorporate the suggested changes into your draft or (if the draft has already been introduced) whether you want us to draft an amendment.

Explain methods used in arriving at the estimate. The fiscal note which you prepare should help the Legislature make an intelligent, informed decision based on the best facts available. Put the emphasis on helping. Write your note so that the members of the Legislature can see how you arrived at the total cost or savings shown; that way, if an amendment changes one of the ingredients in the proposal, the information given in your note might make it possible to ascertain the fiscal effects of the proposal as amended.

From the standpoint of usefulness, the fiscal note to 1963 Assembly Bill 379 was an example of how NOT to write a fiscal note, even though it contained very precise data on the assumed total fiscal effect of the proposal, because it did not explain its assumptions for the establishment of either the estimated revenue yield or the anticipated cost of administration:

1963 AB 379 [the proposal imposed a 20% tax on the face value of trading stamps]:
 "This bill would produce approximately \$1,612,000 of revenue at a cost of \$9,081 in the 1963-65 biennium."

If the estimated dollar cost or anticipated revenue yield of the proposal was arrived at by estimating unit amounts, indicate the units and the unit costs used.

A very good example of how this can be done was the fiscal note to 1967 Assembly Bill 829:

1967 AB 829: "This proposal provides for the payment of state aids to local school districts employing elementary guidance counselors with reimbursement set at 70% of the counselor's salary.

It is estimated that 163 elementary counselors would be funded at 70% during 1967-68 and 200 during 1968-69.

The average salary of elementary counselors in the state is estimated at \$7,000.

1967-68: \$7,000 x 163 x 70% equals \$798,700

1968-69: \$7,000 x 200 x 70% equals \$980,000

The total impact of this proposal for the 1967-69 biennium is \$1,778,700."

If, after careful evaluation, you conclude that a reliable estimate cannot be given, let the Legislature at least have the benefit of your dollar estimate. It is much better to say that the "cost might vary from \$5 million to \$20 million depending on variables which cannot be fully ascertained", than to claim that "no reliable estimate can be given" when you know the minimum cost will be \$5 million. If the cost of a proposal cannot be expressed in terms of dollars — there may be ascertainable dollar costs to society rather than to government — that, too, is valuable information as a basis for policy-making.

The fiscal note to 1973 AB 452 contained a wealth of valuable information, and then concluded, disappointingly:

...Therefore, a dollar estimate of the additional cost likely to be involved in enacting the bill is not possible although the increase would be significant.

"Significant", to the compiler of the fiscal note, had a meaning. Maybe he meant \$20,000; maybe he meant \$20 million. The Legislature would have been better served if the fiscal note had concluded: "...is not possible but would likely exceed \$xx,xxx", leaving it to make the decision whether the cost ("significant" or not) would be "worthwhile" in terms of the competing demand for the state support of public programs.

Never say "this proposal has no fiscal effect" when what you mean is "our agency may be able to absorb the costs of this proposal in its existing appropriation and staffing pattern because the total workload involved can be carried by one typist working less than half time." Also, do not say "this proposal neither increases nor decreases state revenues" when, in reality, this proposal reduces income tax revenues by \$4,000,000 annually but creates a new sales tax revenue in an equal amount by taxing cooking utensils.

Always indicate the source of funds. Be specific as to where the money comes from. In most cases, it will be from general purpose revenues (GPR) but often it comes from other sources such as program revenues or federal monies, or from one of the segregated funds.

If a proposal creates a licensing requirement and imposes a license fee, and then appropriates all monies received from that license for the administration of the licensing activity, some agencies have on past occasions assumed that the state bears no burden and, therefore, the proposal has no fiscal effect. This is dead wrong — the power of the state is being used to exact the license fee, so the revenue yield is state money even though the policy decision has been made to dedicate that money, as program revenue, to the administration of a specific task. The anticipated revenue should be stated. Conversely, the anticipated cost of administration should be stated even though it is intended to recover the cost from program revenue.

Even such a simple matter as a claim against the state, for "x" number of dollars, can have hidden fiscal implications which are immediately apparent to the specialist but might be missed in the Legislature unless they are brought out in the text of the fiscal note. Both of the 2 examples below dealt with a claim against the state to be discharged by a payment from the highway fund, but the 1967 fiscal note was obviously much more informative than that of 1963:

1963 AB 370: "The total amount for this bill would be an expenditure of \$22,662.90."

1967 SB 552: "The appropriation of \$3,400 from the state highway fund to pay this claim would decrease the supplementary distribution to state trunk highways by \$1,360 and the supplemental distribution to local units by \$2,040."

Local fiscal effect. Because state-imposed requirements often affect local government fiscal liabilities or revenues, the state's fiscal note law was broadened several years ago (Chapter 17, Laws of 1971), to incorporate information on local fiscal impact. In some instances, a legislative proposal may have no state fiscal impact but is still significant in terms of local fiscal impact. Such a proposal does require a fiscal note. On the whole, such fiscal notes should be prepared by the state agency having subject responsibilities related to the topic of the proposal, not only because they would be most likely to have statistical or empirical data related to the topic, but also because they might know somebody on the local level who could provide the required information.

The fiscal note form. A copy of each of the worksheet and text forms to be used in the preparation of fiscal notes for 1975 legislation is attached to this report in the final appendix. On the worksheet form, show the detailed statistical breakdown and your methods of arriving at the estimate. The content of the worksheet WILL NOT BE PRINTED as part of the fiscal note. On the text form, set forth the narrative which is to be printed in full and added to the bill as an appendix.

Fill out the **fiscal note worksheet** as follows:

1. If the proposal submitted for a fiscal note is a draft (in other words, if it has not been formally introduced in the Legislature) fill in the LRB number and the draft number (see above, page 4). If it is a bill or joint resolution, fill in the house in which it originated and the number of the document (for instance, for Assembly Bill 824 you would write "AB-824" into the field marked "Bill No."). You must indicate the house of origin because, in the Wisconsin Legislature, each house numbers its documents for each kind of document in a series beginning with the number "1". Repeat the LRB number or bill number on the text form.

2. Give a very brief statement of the "subject" based on the title of the proposal. For instance, 1967 Assembly Bill 824 had the following title:

AN ACT to renumber 94.69; to amend 29.60 (5) (c); to repeal and recreate 29.29 (4); and to create 94.69 (1) (h) and (2), 94.695 and 140.05 (15) of the statutes, relating to the use of pesticides, and creating a pesticide council.

The "subject", which is mainly an identification for your own purposes, might have been stated as "pesticide council".

3. Fill in the number of the Chapter 20 section, subsection and paragraph affected by the proposal. Then, make the appropriate checkmark in the "source of appropriation" field depending on whether the appropriation comes from "general purpose" revenue, "federal" revenue, "program" revenue, or "segregated" revenue.

4. Fill in every line in the statistical table. Your detailed calculations may not fit into the space provided; if they do not, use additional sheets of plain or ruled paper as necessary. In any case, transfer the results of your calculations into the statistical table, filling every line. Do not use "none" unless you really mean that the cost equals "zero"; for instance, if you feel that additional workload can be absorbed by existing staff, you should nevertheless indicate that the "number of FTE positions" required for the task is "0.3" (or, whatever).

5. Note that the **first two columns** deal with the **fiscal years** of the 1975-77 biennium, while the **third column** asks for information concerning the 1977-79 biennium. Sometimes, because a program will not reach full operation until the 1977-79 biennium, or because federal funding may be scheduled to expire in 1976-77, the ensuing biennium may present a completely changed picture.

6. The fiscal note worksheet for the 1975 session places greater emphasis on state solvency than was the case in prior sessions. Thus, be sure to provide your information on taxes or other GPR earned if the proposal is enacted, and on increased federal, program or segregated revenues which may result from the proposal. Note that the 1975 form for the fiscal note narrative also contains a small table which needs to be filled in, and it is impossible to fill in that table unless you have fully completed your worksheet so that the total of revenues (GPR and all other), minus the total state costs, will show the proposal's net state fiscal impact.

7. In the fiscal note worksheet all increases are shown as positive figures. This makes all cost decreases negative amounts, which should be preceded by minus signs.

8. As much as possible, round all dollar amounts to the nearest \$100. The original budget appropriations are treated that way and it does not make sense to treat increases or decreases differently.

9. Explain the methods which you used in arriving at the estimate.

Fill out the **text of fiscal note** form as follows:

Prepare the narrative as it will appear on the bill in printed form. Explain in a clear and concise manner what effect the measure will have on the costs and revenues of state government, and on the costs of local government. Specify the source of funds for appropriations, or the revenues affected. Include the retroactive effect on the current biennium, if any, and the long-range fiscal effect.

Some explanation of how you arrived at your estimates should be a part of the narrative so that it will appear in the printed fiscal note. Make the explanations as brief as possible without eliminating essential information. For your own files, be prepared to substantiate your estimates with underlying data and retain the worksheets you may have developed in arriving at the figures submitted. For the information of the Legislature, include in the explanation every unit of the formula which you used to develop your totals so that, if the proposal is changed, it would be possible to ascertain the fiscal effect of the proposal as amended. Also, include in your explanation the possible long-range effect of the proposal, the effect on the operating (1973-75) biennium (if any), the effect on the forthcoming (1975-77) biennium, and the effect on the 1977-79 biennium.

For all fiscal notes prepared on the 1975 worksheets, the narrative should end with a summary statistic showing the state costs and state revenues resulting from the proposal for the 1975-77 biennium and the proposal's net effect on the state's fiscal position. In the summary statistic, this information need not be split into fiscal years; therefore, if there is a significant difference between the two fiscal years, comment on it in the text.

In addition, every fiscal note for a proposal that has a fiscal effect — whether state or local — should also comment briefly on the probable long-range effect. The 1975 form for the fiscal note narrative contains a special field for this purpose.

At the bottom of both forms, insert the date on which the note was completed and the name of your agency. The original copies of the form should then be signed by the official designated by the agency as responsible for preparing fiscal notes.

III. Technical Memos

Regardless of the ability of the legislative attorney who translated the legislator's ideas into the form of a bill draft there will, occasionally, be proposals which to you — as the expert on the particular program area — are unclear or have some technical defects. If the proposal is adequate to permit the preparation of a fiscal note but contains a weakness which does not affect the fiscal implications, you should prepare a fiscal note and, in a separate technical memo, set forth the points which you think need correction. Do not intermix your technical comments with the fiscal information or, as was the case in the fiscal note shown below, substitute your technical objections for fiscal information:

1965 AB 341: "This proposal would enable persons who install and operate a citizens band radio set in a motor vehicle to have a special license plate containing the call letters of the vehicle operator.

Wisconsin conforms to the standard 6" by 12" license plate size which is used by all states and in Canada. This size plate, as used in Wisconsin, is designed to have six characters. By statute, s. 341.13 (1) (c), the height of the characters must be at least 3 inches.

Call letters assigned to citizen band operators generally have 7 characters which would not fit on the present plate under the existing statutory limitations."

That bill dealt with an increase of the special fee for putting call letters on a license plate, for all radio operators, from \$1 to \$3, in addition to permitting the issuance of these special plates not only to ham radio operators (who were entitled to them under the existing statute) but also to the citizen band radio operators. What the fiscal note should have answered were the questions: 1) How many ham radio operators are now provided with a call letters license plate at \$1 and would, if the bill becomes law, pay \$2 additional for their license plates?; and 2) How many citizen band radio receivers have been installed in motor vehicles licensed in Wisconsin and could qualify for the issuance of special plates if the proposal becomes law, paying \$3 for each set of plates? As to the technical objections, they should have been presented in a technical memo making it possible for the requester to suggest two alternative solutions: 1) keep all letters 3" tall but, for 7-letter plates, make the letters somewhat narrower, or 2) for 7-letter plates, reduce the 3" letters proportionately so that 7 of them will fit on the standard 12" width of the license plate.

Fiscal note not required. Occasionally you will be requested to prepare a fiscal note for a proposal which, (you conclude after careful study) does not even have a minimal fiscal effect. In other words, it has no fiscal effect at all and, for that reason, should not have been sent out for a fiscal note in the first place. But, it has been sent out for a fiscal note and, if the proposal is already introduced in the Legislature as a bill, joint resolution or resolution, the proposal is now hung up in committee where no further action will be taken until your fiscal note is received. In such a situation, valuable time can be saved if you DO NOT phone to tell the Bureau of Planning and Budget or the Legislative Reference Bureau that the proposal does not need a fiscal note. Instead, make that statement itself the text of your fiscal note, and return the completed note as soon as possible to the Bureau of Planning and Budget.

A typical example might be a bill in which the only reference to money consists of the imposition of a fine. Fines are theoretically not imposed to raise revenue; instead, the existence of a fine should serve as a deterrent and the crime for which the fine has been imposed will (it is hoped) no longer be committed. In such a case, let your fiscal note be a very brief statement as to why the proposal does not have a fiscal effect.

There are, however, instances when even a bill dealing with fines has a fiscal effect, as was the case with 1965 Assembly Bill 509 which changed the state-local ratio of sharing the revenue from certain fines for violation of the motor vehicle code:

1965 AB 509: "Section 59.20 (8) provides under Chapter 348 (of the statutes) that 50% of the fines, bond forfeitures and penalties collected shall be paid to the state treasury. In dollars there is now approximately \$503,000 collected each year under this chapter and of this amount 50% (\$251,500) is now transmitted to the state treasury.

Under the proposed bill 10% of this amount (\$503,000) or \$50,300 would be retained by the counties and the balance of approximately \$452,700 would be paid into the state treasury. The increase would amount to \$452,700 minus \$251,500 which is \$201,200.

There would be no additional costs to state government."

Deficiencies in the bill draft. Sometimes when you analyze a proposal for its fiscal effects you might detect mechanical deficiencies in the proposal as drafted. You can make a real contribution to the perfection of the proposal — after all, you are the specialist in that subject-matter area of state government to which the bill is addressed — if you call these deficiencies to the attention of the requester and the Legislative Reference Bureau. In such a case, attach a technical memo (in FOUR copies) to the fiscal note, setting forth the changes in the proposal which you think should be made to make the proposal workable. But, be sure of your motives: the fact that you personally judge a proposal to be a very bad idea does not make that proposal technically deficient. In the technical memo, just as in the fiscal note, refrain from taking a stand on the issue, and confine your comments to an objective statement of technical facts. Also, be sure to keep your technical remarks separate from the remarks which, as a fiscal note, are to be printed as an appendix to the bill.

A few examples from the 1967 Session of technical memos returned with fiscal notes will illustrate the type of objections raised. Also, they show how helpful these memos were in the drafting process. For instance, the first draft of LRB-2506 (which was later redrafted as the result of the technical memo and introduced as 1967 AB 758) came back with a 1-page technical memo attached to the fiscal note. The legislative attorney to whom the draft was assigned discussed the points of the technical memo with the requester and all of the following suggestions were incorporated into the draft before it was introduced:

1967 LRB 2506: "In preparing the fiscal note to LRB-2506 certain questions arose regarding the construction and these comments are submitted for your consideration ...

On page 3, line 21, it is suggested that the availability of board records be changed from 'at all times' to 'times of normal business hours as provided in s. 16.275 (6) (a) and (c)'. This will avoid any future confusion regarding the necessity for maintaining 24-hour operations.

On page 4, line 23, the reference to s. 20.285 should be changed to s. 20.350 to conform with the creation of the appropriation made in section 1 of this bill ...

On page 12, line 17, section 4 states that this bill shall become effective January 1, 1968. Under this section no part of this bill would become active until that time, but it would seem desirable to allow the board to begin functioning before the licensing requirements become binding on members of the profession. It is therefore suggested that the board be allowed to begin operations sometime prior to the effective date of the licensing requirements."

Similar improvements as a result of a technical memo, submitted together with the fiscal note, were made in 1967 LRB-916. The first draft had been sent out for a fiscal note; partly as a result of the technical memo received the proposal was extensively redrafted in several successive drafts until the 5th draft was introduced as 1967 AB 778:

1967 LRB-916: "On page 2 of LRB-916 three appropriations from the conservation fund are provided for stream improvement, water safety and public access. Since these three activities are already being administered by the conservation department and are not new activities, appropriations are already provided for them in the 1967-69 budget bill (1967 AB 99). Consequently, we would suggest the following corrections to insure conformity with the appropriation structure in the budget bill.

1. Present stream improvement activities are budgeted under general program operations in the fish and game program — 20.280 (1) (u). To avoid duplication of appropriations, the appropriation '20.280 (1) (uk)' in LRB-916 from stream improvement should be shown instead as a supplement to 20.280 (1) (u).

2. The appropriation '20.280 (1) (un)' on LRB-916 for water safety should also be shown as a supplement to 20.280 (1) (u).

3. Public access aids are appropriated in the budget bill under the fish and game program as water access aids — 20.280 (1) (u). To maintain consistency with the present program format, the appropriation '20.280 (3) (z)' in LRB-916 should be shown instead as a supplement to appropriation 20.280 (1) (vn).

In addition, on page 5, section 7 of LRB-916, section 25.40 (1) (a) is amended with a statement relating to motor fuel taxes. Section 25.40 (1) (a) prior to this amendment bears no relationship to motor fuel taxes. However, 25.40 (1) (c) does concern motor fuel taxes. Consequently, it would seem that this statutory change would be more readable if subsection (1) (c) were amended instead of subsection (1) (a)."

IV. Fiscal Note Law

Section 13.10 (2) — note LOCAL GOVERNMENT applicability

(a) Any bill making an appropriation and any bill increasing or decreasing existing appropriations or state or local government fiscal liability or revenues shall, before any vote is taken thereon by either house of the legislature if the bill is not referred to a standing committee, or before any public hearing is held before any standing committee or, if no public hearing is held, before any vote is taken by the committee, incorporate as a note a reliable estimate of the anticipated change in appropriation authority or state or local government fiscal liability or revenues under the bill, including to the extent possible a projection of such changes in future biennia. Except as otherwise provided by joint rules of the legislature, such estimates shall be made by the department or agency administering the appropriation or collecting the revenue. Fiscal notes on bills which will be referred to the joint survey committee on tax exemptions or the joint survey committee on retirement systems shall be prepared by the appropriate committee. When a fiscal note is prepared after the bill has been introduced, it shall be printed and distributed as are amendments.

(b) Executive budget bills introduced under s. 16.47 (1) are exempt from the fiscal note requirement under par. (a) but shall, if they contain provisions affecting a public retirement fund or providing a tax exemption, be analyzed as to those provisions by the respective joint survey committee.

The fiscal note procedure under the joint rules of the Legislature is set forth in Joint Rule 24. The text of the joint rule was last formally adopted in 1967; for reasons probably not related to proposed changes in the text of Joint Rule 24, a 1969 Senate joint resolution (SJR-71) to adopt the joint rules died in the Assembly, and a 1973 Assembly joint resolution to adopt the joint rules (AJR-2) died in the Senate. The 1971 Legislature did not consider a proposal to reenact the joint rules. It should be understood that, although there has been no formal adoption of joint rules since 1967, the subsequent legislatures have observed the joint rules, and violations of joint rule provisions have often been given considerable attention. Thus, there has been considerable legislative dissatisfaction with the frequent departmental violation of Joint Rule 24 (6) which requires fiscal note preparation in "5 working days"; permits extension to a total of "15 working days" when extended research is required; and contains no provision for a turn-around exceeding 3 weeks.

Joint Rule 24. FISCAL NOTES

(1) APPLICATION. All measures carrying a sum sufficient appropriation, increasing or decreasing existing appropriations or fiscal liability of the State or increasing or decreasing State revenue shall carry a note as provided by Statute, except Pension Bills. Such notes shall be known as Fiscal Notes.

(2) ORIGINAL MEASURES ONLY. Such notes are required on original measures only and not on Substitute Amendments or Amendments.

(3) PREPARATION. Notes shall be prepared by the State agency receiving the appropriation or responsible for collecting the revenue except in the case of Pension Bills. Measures carrying provisions for both appropriations and revenues or appropriations for more than one State agency shall carry notes from each such agency except that when a measure would require notes prepared by several agencies, the Department of Administration may determine that a single agency shall prepare a general note.

(4) IDENTIFICATION. The name of the State agency preparing the note shall appear at the end of the note, and the original copy of the note shall carry the signature of a responsible official of the agency.

(5) FORM OF NOTE. The note shall be prepared in quintuplicate, shall be factual in nature, as concise as may be, and shall if possible provide a reliable estimate in dollars. If,

after careful investigation, the State agency concludes that no dollar estimate can be provided, the note shall contain a statement to that effect setting forth precisely why such dollar estimates cannot be given.

(6) TIME LIMIT FOR NOTE. Each State agency shall be given 5 working days from the date on which the proposed measure is received to prepare the note, but the Department of Administration may extend such period to not more than 15 working days if the proposal necessitates extended research.

(7) PROPOSALS USED FOR OFFICIAL PURPOSES ONLY. The State agencies are requested to utilize the proposals submitted to them for official purposes only.

(8) PROCEDURE. (a) The preliminary determination of whether the measure requires a Fiscal Note shall be made by the Legislative Reference Library which shall indicate that a measure requires a Fiscal Note by stamping the letters "FN" prominently on the jacket. The Department of Administration may review this determination.

(h) No jacket on which the FN symbol has been defaced shall be accepted for introduction. If the original decision by the Reference Library that the measure requires a Fiscal Note is reversed, upon consultation with the requestor, a new jacket shall be prepared omitting the FN symbol. If a measure which requires a Fiscal Note, is not processed by the Reference Library, the Chief Clerk of the House of origin shall affix the FN stamp.

(c) After a measure has been drafted, reviewed and approved by the requestor, and before it is jacketed, the Legislative Reference Library shall inform the requestor if a Fiscal Note is required. If the requestor so directs, the Library shall promptly submit such measure to the Department of Administration for a Fiscal Note. If the requestor desires to introduce the measure without the Fiscal Note, he may do so, but when the proposal is introduced, if it requires a Fiscal Note the Chief Clerk of the House of origin shall promptly extract 2 copies of the proposal and submit them to the Department of Administration for a Fiscal Note keeping a record of the date on which each measure is thus submitted and its number.

(d) The Department of Administration shall promptly review each measure, determine the agency to which it shall be submitted for a Fiscal Note, and forward the proposal to such agency, keeping a record of the date of submission and the number of the measure.

(e) The State agency shall prepare the note in quintuplicate, and return it and the proposal within 5 working days to the Department of Administration unless the Department of Administration extends the period for the preparation of the note. The Department of Administration shall notify each State agency of measures not returned within the deadline.

(f) The Department of Administration shall return all measures and their accompanying notes to the Legislative Reference Library or the Chief Clerk promptly, retaining one copy of the note for their files.

(g) If the Fiscal Note is procured before the measure is introduced the Legislative Reference Library shall then submit a copy of the note to the requestor. If the requestor desires to introduce the measure, the Reference Library shall attach a copy of the note to the printer's copy of the measure, and prepare the measure for introduction. In this case the Fiscal Note, or if the Fiscal Note is procured by the Chief Clerk, the words "see Fiscal Note next page" shall be printed at the end of the measure. If the Fiscal Note is procured by the Chief Clerk the original, signed copy of the note and all copies shall be inserted in the jacket by the Chief Clerk who shall forthwith cause the Fiscal Note to be printed as are Amendments.

(h) Measures requiring Fiscal Notes shall not be engrossed by either House prior to the receipt of the Fiscal Note and the Fiscal Note shall be read by the Chief Clerk as are titles at least once before final passage by either House.

(9) MEASURES NOT CONFORMING. Any Member may at any time that a measure is before the House raise the issue that such measure requires a Fiscal Note, and if the Presiding Officer determines that such measure (not having such note) requires a note, he shall forthwith request the Department of Administration to secure the requisite note.

(10) The jacket of all measures carrying a Fiscal Note shall have the initials FN clearly stamped on them.

(11) Whenever an Amendment or Substitute Amendment so affects a proposal that the original Fiscal Note ceases to be valid, the Joint Committee on Finance may, on the basis of additional information, attach to the report on such proposal a statement of the fiscal effect of the proposal as amended, and such statement shall be spread on the Journal with the report.

In essence, the revised text of Joint Rule 24 considered in 1969 and 1973, and shown here as contained in 1973 AJR-2, did not propose a changed procedure but, rather, was an attempt to express more clearly the working routines observed over the past few sessions. In addition, the revised text recognizes the new requirement to show information on "local fiscal effect". However, the revised text of Joint Rule 24 (8) (f) would have changed existing procedure both by reducing the number of copies required for each note, and by reducing time lost in transit. The proposed revised text of Joint Rule 24, as it was shown in the engrossed version of 1973 AJR-2, is set forth below merely because it sets forth more clearly some of the work routines observed, and should be read with the understanding that it does not represent the letter of the currently existing law.

Joint Rule 24. FISCAL NOTES. (1) APPLICATION. *All measures making an appropriation and any measure increasing or decreasing existing appropriations or state or general local government fiscal liability or revenues shall carry a fiscal note.*

(2) ORIGINAL MEASURES ONLY. (a) *Fiscal notes are required on original measures only and not on substitute amendments or amendments.*

(b) *Whenever an amendment or substitute amendment adopted by one house so affects a proposal that the original fiscal note ceases to be valid, the joint committee on finance may, on the basis of additional information, order the printing of a new fiscal note showing the fiscal effect of the proposal as amended.*

(3) PREPARATION. (a) *Except as provided in par. (b), fiscal notes shall be prepared by the state agency receiving the appropriation or collecting the revenue except that fiscal notes on measures which will be referred to the joint survey committee on tax exemptions or the joint survey committee on retirement systems shall be prepared by the appropriate committee. Measures carrying provisions for both appropriations and revenues or appropriations for more than one state agency shall carry notes from each such agency except that when a measure would require notes prepared by several agencies, the department of administration may determine that a single agency shall prepare a general note, or prepare a single consolidated fiscal note into which the information submitted by the several agencies is incorporated.*

(b) *Bills affecting a public retirement fund shall be referred to the joint survey committee on retirement systems under s. 13.50. Bills providing for a tax exemption shall be referred to the joint survey committee on tax exemptions under s. 13.52. For such bills, no fiscal note is required prior to introduction, but for any such bill the fiscal note shall be prepared by the respective joint survey committee at the time the committee prepares its analysis of the bill, and shall be submitted to the legislature as a part of the committee's bill analysis which is then printed as an appendix to the bill.*

(c) *For measures with a fiscal impact on general local government, the department of administration shall obtain the requisite information from the appropriate state agency.*

(4) IDENTIFICATION. *The name of the state agency preparing the note shall appear at the end of the printed note, and the original copy of the note shall carry the signature of a responsible official of the agency.*

(5) FORM OF NOTE. *The note shall be factual in nature, as concise as may be, and shall if possible provide a reliable estimate in dollars. If, after careful investigation, the state agency concludes that no dollar estimate can be provided, the note shall contain a statement setting forth precisely why such dollar estimates cannot be given.*

(6) TIME LIMIT FOR NOTE. *Each state agency shall prepare the fiscal note within 5 working days from the date on which it receives the measure, but the department of administration may extend such period to not more than 15 working days if the proposal necessitates extended research. Whenever such extension is granted, the department of administration shall immediately notify the legislative reference bureau.*

(7) PROPOSALS USED FOR OFFICIAL PURPOSES ONLY. *The state agencies are requested to utilize the proposals submitted to them for official purposes only. In particular, no*

department shall copy, or otherwise disseminate information regarding, any measure submitted to it by "LRB" number, indicating that such proposal has not been offered for introduction in the legislature.

(8) PROCEDURE. (a) The jackets of all measures carrying a fiscal note shall have the initials "FN" clearly stamped on them.

(b) The preliminary determination of whether the measure requires a fiscal note shall be made by the legislative reference bureau which shall indicate that a measure requires a fiscal note by stamping the letters "FN" prominently on the jacket.

(c) No jacket on which the "FN" symbol has been defaced shall be accepted for introduction unless the deletion of the "FN" symbol has been initialed by the chief of the legislative reference bureau or by the senior legislative attorneys.

(d) After a measure has been drafted the legislative reference bureau shall inform the requester that a fiscal note is required when it submits the draft to him for his review. If the requester so directs, the bureau shall promptly submit such measure to the department of administration for a fiscal note. If the requester desires to introduce the measure without the fiscal note, he may do so, but when the proposal is introduced, if it requires a fiscal note the legislative reference bureau shall promptly extract a copy of the proposal and submit it to the department of administration for a fiscal note. The legislative reference bureau shall keep a record of the date on which each measure is thus submitted and its number.

(e) The department of administration shall promptly review each measure, determine the agency to which it shall be submitted for a fiscal note, and forward the proposal to such agency, keeping a record of the date of submission and the number of the measure.

(f) The state agency shall prepare the note in quadruplicate. It shall return the proposal and one copy of the fiscal note within 5 working days to the department of administration unless the department of administration extends the period for the preparation of the note. The department of administration shall notify each state agency of measures not returned within the deadline. The state agency shall forward the original and the other 2 copies of the fiscal note directly to the legislative reference bureau.

(g) The department of administration shall retain one copy of the note for its files. If the department disagrees with the information presented in the fiscal note, it may prepare an additional fiscal note and forward the original and 2 copies thereof to the legislative reference bureau. Such additional fiscal notes shall be printed and distributed as are all other fiscal notes under par. (h), but their heading shall be "SUPPLEMENTARY FISCAL NOTE BY THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION".

(h) If the fiscal note is procured before the measure is introduced the legislative reference bureau shall then submit a copy of the note to the requester. If the requester desires to introduce the measure, the reference bureau shall attach the note to the camera-ready original of the measure, and prepare the measure for introduction. The fiscal note shall be printed at the end of the measure. If the fiscal note is procured after the measure has been introduced the original, signed copy of the note shall be forwarded to the chief clerk of the house of origin to be inserted in the jacket and the legislative reference bureau shall forthwith cause the fiscal note to be printed as are amendments.

(i) Measures requiring fiscal notes shall not be engrossed by either house prior to the receipt of the fiscal note. If the fiscal note has not been printed when the vote on passage is taken, then the chief clerk shall read it as are titles.

(9) MEASURES NOT CONFORMING. Any member may at any time that a measure is before the house raise the issue that such measure requires a fiscal note, and if the presiding officer determines that such measure (not having such note) requires a note, he shall forthwith request the legislative reference bureau to secure the requisite note.

APPENDIX

Sample Fiscal Notes

Four general types of fiscal notes are illustrated below. Note that in each case the attempt was made NOT TO REPEAT the information already contained in a bill analysis (thus eliminating the typical "This proposal would ..." opening sentence used in the fiscal notes of prior sessions).

1. Fiscal note to a proposal increasing the costs of state government. The proposal would supply a free copy of the Wisconsin statutes to every public library in Wisconsin which has a circulating collection of 5,000 volumes or more:

Wisconsin has 311 libraries with circulating collections of 5,000 volumes or more. A set of the statutes costs \$30; in addition, the state incurs \$.85 shipping costs. The next edition of the statutes will become available in August 1972. If the number of libraries, the cost of the books, and the shipping charges remain unchanged, the cost of the program will be 311 times \$30.85, or about \$9,600, from general purpose revenues in the 1972-73 fiscal year. The proposal has no fiscal effect in fiscal year 1971-72.

2. Fiscal note to a proposal increasing the revenues of state government. The proposal would increase the price of a resident fishing license from \$3 to \$4:

The department issues about 700,000 resident fishing licenses each year. If the cost of the license is increased by \$1, revenues would increase \$700,000 annually, less any decline in the number of licenses sold caused by the increased price. The additional revenue would be credited to the Conservation Fund. The cost of administration would not be affected.

3. Fiscal note to a proposal increasing both the costs and the revenues of state government. The proposal would create a radio and television announcers examining board issuing annual licenses to the practitioners at \$10 each:

Wisconsin's 18 television and 165 radio stations, estimating an average of 8 announcers per television station and 3 announcers per radio station, have an estimated total of 640 television and radio announcers. At an annual license fee of \$10, revenues would be about \$6,400.

Administrative costs would include actual and necessary expenses plus about 10 per diems each (\$25) for the 3 members of the examining board, and the 1/4-time services of a typist to be furnished by the department of regulation and licensing, or a total cash expenditure of approximately \$2,000 annually to be financed from program revenue.

4. Fiscal note in which a dollar amount cannot be given. The proposal would create a state program to provide medical care and treatment for adopted children with a permanent physical or mental defect or infirmity:

Since there are no valid statistics available to indicate the number of such children likely to be adopted, there is no valid way of estimating either the probable savings or costs likely to be involved if the proposal was enacted.

1975 SESSION

AD.M8A-22(Rev. 12/74)

FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET
Submit 4 Copies

LRB	DRAFT
BILL NO.	JT.RES.NO.

This worksheet is designed to help compute data required for the fiscal note. It is not printed with the fiscal note. Consequently, be sure the necessary revenue, expenditure and position information is included in the note itself.

SUBJECT:

Chap. 20 Ref.:

SOURCE OF APPROPRIATION

_____ GPR _____ FED _____ PRO _____ SEG

	1975-77 Biennium		1977-79 Biennium
	1975-76	1976-77	
COSTS - Localities	\$	\$	\$
COSTS - State	\$	\$	\$
Salaries and Fringes			
Number of FTE Positions	()	()	()
Other Costs			
Aids to Localities			
Aids to Individuals and Organizations			
TOTAL			
State Cost - FUNDING	\$	\$	\$
GPR			
PRO			
FED			
SEG			
TOTAL			
REVENUES - State	\$	\$	\$
GPR Taxes			
GPR Earned			
PRO			
FED			
SEG			
TOTAL			
NET FISCAL IMPACT - State	\$	\$	\$
COSTS			
REVENUES			
NET			

For Detailed Calculations (Use additional sheets if necessary)

Date	Agency	Authorized Representative
------	--------	---------------------------

TEXT OF FISCAL NOTE
(To be printed with bill)

LRB	DRAFT
BILL NO.	JT. RES. NO.

NOTE: Briefly explain the effect this measure will have on the 1975-77 costs, revenues, and positions of STATE government and/or on the 1975-77 costs and revenues of LOCAL government. Also include the long-range effect, specifying estimated costs for the 1977-79 biennium and/or significant changes in the level or source of funds in the 1977-79 biennium compared to the 1975-77 funding. Include the retroactive effect on the 1973-75 biennium, if any.

Specify the source of funds for appropriations and the revenues affected. At the end of a long or complicated note, summarize the 1975-77 fiscal effect by source of funds.

(SUBMIT FOUR COPIES)

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

SUMMARY ITEMS	1975-77 BIENNIUM	LONG-RANGE EFFECTS NARRATIVE
GPR Revenues		
Other Revenues		
Expenditures		
Net State Fiscal Impact		
Additional Positions Required	()	
		(Use additional sheets if necessary)
Date	Agency	Authorized Representative